Court ObservationForm

Cou	rt Observer: 1746 Locate Date & Time of Monitoring Exercise: 2013 2018 10:	foam				
Case	e Title: Federal Pepublic Migeria VDr. Dogara & 5 ars Case No. FHIC KD	3º 2013				
Cou	rtroom Information					
Stat	e: kadung Judicial Division/District: kadung Courtroom No.:					
	ne of Judge: Justice Shuce uName& Agency of Prosecutor:					
Defe	ence Counsel Ottable, Cr. Madaki, M. Jesse, A. Joshua.					
Whe	en did the court sit?	ning + conclusion				
	Case Information (Tick correct answers: Y= Yes; N = No)					
1.	a. Cause list sighted? Nb. Case on cause list? Y N c. Scheduled start time: N C. Was it easy to identify the case? N d. Was it easy identify/locate the courtroom? N					
2.	What type of hearing was it? * Briefly mention the subject matter of the case and what stage of hearing it is at					
3	Were you allowed to get or p eruse a copy of the court record? N Was bail granted? N When (date), and what were the conditions of bail (use back of page if necessary)					
On the back of the page, please provide a very brief comment on the case history, including lawunder which the case is tried, previous adjournment and the next adjourned date (4-5 Lines)						
- 10	Court Website and IT (tick or underline the correct response)					
5.	a. Does the court have a website? Y					
6.	Did you find a web-copy of the cause list with the case listed? Y					
7.	a. Is the website current? Current Quite Current Out of Date Very Obsolete b. Regularity of updates Regular Quite Regular Irregular Very Irregular c.Accuracy of information Accurate A bit accurate Inaccurate Misleading d. Did you find information about your case on the court website?					
8.	How useful did you find the website? Was the site easy to read and navigate? Does the site have a search function?					
9.	If the court lacks a website, are there plans to have one? At what stage of development is it?					
10.	Does the court have an e-filing and e-messaging system? How is it being de ployed in this case/in anti-corruption cases generally? (Please keep your response to 3 lines on the back of the page).	1				
	The Hearing (Pls tick or provide the correct answer)					
11.	a. The court sat (Y) N b. The case you are monitoring proceeded on schedule (Y) N c. Complainant was in court (Y) N d. Prosecution was in court (Y) N e. The defendant was in court (Y) N f. Defence Counsel in Court (Y) N g. If hearing was delayed, at whose instance was the delay? Hearing was delayed, at whose instance was the delay? Hearing was not delay?	. d				
12	f. What consequential orders followed? a. Defendant was in custody Y N					
12.	a. Defendant was in custody Y (N) b. Subsisting order to produce defendant complied with (Y) N					

	c. What reasons were given for non-compliance	e?						
13	a. Witnesses were in Court? (Y) N b. If r	not, wha	t reasons wer	e given?		70.00		
	c. What consequential directive if any, did the			177	itional brief c	omments		
	on back page)							
14.	Case Prioritization: Did the matter proceed as s	et (men	tion, motion,	hearing,	ruling, addres	s, or		
	judgment)? Tes			6		0 11 0 -		· · · · haara
	Judgment)? (C.5) How many hours or days were allocated to the How many interlocutory applications were take If the case was adjourned, at whose instance at What was the length of adjournment as the length of adjournmen	matter	2 day	W. F	learing ?	tall hos	ecano	1 CO TATO 22
	How many interlocutory applications were take	en? .(.	For what?	Be	r l			-1.00
	If the case was adjourned, at whose instance a	nd for w	hat reasons?	The co	ise was	adjourne	d for	deterce
	What was the rength of adjournment shown it v	vas a lui	ig aujourninei	it, wilati	easons were	given:		
	Overall, how frequent are adjournments in the	case?	djournner	its had	se been o	ory trea	meri	
	Overall, how frequent are adjournments in the What indicated that the court was giving the ca General Courtroom Conduct and Efficiency	ise expe	dited hearing	? Ho in	dication	, stu at	Trial .	within
15.		Poor A	Acceptable	Good			70	al stagl
₹	Courtroom was orderly	1	2	3	4			
	The Judge was courteous and respectful	1	2	3	4			
	The Judge was in control* of the proceedings	1	2	3	4			
	Judge was ready/prepared** for court	1	2	3	4			
	The Prosecution was ready/prepared*** for co		2	3	4			
0.00	The defence was ready/prepared**** for court	t 1	2	3	4			
	Cases were handled in a timely manner	1	2	3	4			
	The judge was impartial	1	2	3	4			
	Individuals were treated equally by							
	Court staff	1	2	3	4		41	
	Transparency and Accountability	Poor	5752		Excellent	7	1	
	Judge was demonstrably neutral	1	2	3	(4)		1	
	Parties were given equal opportunities		_	10.24	2			
	to present their cases	1	2	3	4			
	Judge made effort to understand		- 11					
	the concerns of the parties	1	2	3	(4)			
	Prosecution held accountable**** for actions		2	2				
	that delayed proceedings Defence held accountable for actions		2	3	4			
		(1)	2	2				
	that delayed proceedings		2	3	4	-		
	The interest of the public was a dequately	4	13.	2	(A)			
	represented	1	2	3	4			
	Communicating Courtroom Proceedings	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	-		
	Court announced every stage of proceedings	1	2	3	4			
	Court explained every stage of proceedings	1	2	3	4			
	Defendant understood procedures and rulings	1	2	3	(A)			

You may if necessary provide brief comments of the hearing: 1 whese the
Examination in duet and cross examination of PW3
the was thoroughly questioned and environment of
and in the end, all coursel and in the end, all coursel
involved were soushed as there was no ambiguity.

I gained better understanding of the court's role in anti-corruption cases:

Very Much

Quite So

Not sure

Not at all

Court process was fair:

Very fair

Quite Fair

Not sure

Unfair

Courts reaction to observer's presence (Receptive

Cooperative

indifferent Hostile

Did your confidence in the court ability to dispense justice increase because you witnessed Quite So Not sure proceedings? Very Much Not at all

What did you find most interesting about your experience? The cost exercises of the cost exercises and the cost exercises and the cost exercises and the cost exercises are the cost exercises are the cost exercises and the cost exercises are the cost exercises and the cost exercises are the cost ex of PW 3, all defence counsel box time Pask very Entelligent questions.

Notes on certain terms

- * A judge is in control when he maintains general courtroom decorum, holds counsel to the highest levels of compliance with the rules, grants adjournments only for valid reasons and not more times than is permitted by the rules.
- **You can tell that a judge is unprepared if for instance s/he comes to court without having read the case file and relevant law, or easily grants adjournments for flimsy reasons.
- *** A prosecutor betrays his or her unpreparedness when he/she betrays a firm grasp of the case/facts and applicable law,a charge or information sheet is found to be defective or the prosecution fails to ensure that processes have been properly filed, court directives have been complied with and that prosecution witnesses are in court if the case was set down for the prosecution's case.
- **** Indications that the defence attorney is unprepared could include gimmicks that are intended to prevent the court from proceeding with the hearing as scheduled, unscheduled interlocutory applications, failure to produce defence witnesses, etc.
- ***** A judge holds legal counsels accountable when s/he does not accommodate flimsy excuses for adjournments, ensures that timelines are kept and a tight lead is kept on adjournments by upholding the provisions of ACJA and practice directions relating to court room delays and adjournments. His or her ability to enforce the rules show that s/he retains control of the proceedings and would were necessary, remonstrate parties and counsel for holding up proceedings. The judge may also issue consequential orders, order costs where justified, and ensure that his/her orders are complied with.

Section ((1)(9) of Advanced Fee Fraud. Accused persons were charged wan conspiracy and intent to defraud, obtained ung Fraudulent award of contract for renovation of covernment is age sominated the sum of 17,000,000, property of the readura state consernment.

Bail conditions

Each of the accused person was growted bout in the sun

of 1,500,000 and mo sureties in like sum

- sureties must be owners of landed ppty within leading

metopolis

metopolis

certificate of occupancy must be deposited with a serior

registrar of courts.