Court ObservationForm

Cou	irt Observer: 12469 Larotta Date & Time of Monitoring Exercise: 203 2018 10:20 an							
Cas	e Title: Federal Republic Miger ov Rabin Milhammed Umar Case No. FHC/10/26 /2017							
Cou	artroom Information							
Stat	te: Kadura Judicial Division/District: Kadura Courtroom No.: 1							
	ne of Judge: Justice 5. M. ShualbName & Agency of Prosecutor: Efc							
	ence Counsel P. E. Eze J. J. M. Omugnell							
	9							
VVIII	en did the court sit?							
1.	a. Cause list sighted \(\text{N} \) Nb. Case on cause list? \(\text{N} \) N c. Scheduled start time: \(\text{N} \) N							
	c. Was it easy to identify the case? N N N N N N N N							
2.	What type of hearing was it?							
3	* Briefly mention the subject matter of the case and what stage of hearing it is at Were you allowed to get or peruse a copy of the court record? (Y) N							
	Was bail granted? Y N When (date), and what were the conditions of bail (use back of page if							
	necessary)							
4	On the back of the page, please provide a very brief comment on the case history, including lawunder							
	which the case is tried, previous adjournment and the next adjourned date (4-5 Lines)							
	Court Website and IT (tick or underline the correct response)							
5.	a. Does the court have a website? Y N b. Does the website feature a webpage for the courtroom/judge you are monitoring? Y N							
6.	Did you find a web-copy of the cause list with the case listed? Y							
7.	a. Is the website current? Current Quite Current Out of Date Very Obsolete							
	b. Regularity of updates Regular Quite Regular Irregular Very Irregular							
	c.Accuracy of information Accurate A bit accurate Inaccurate Misleading							
	d. Did you find information about your case on the court website?							
8.	How useful did you find the website? Was the site easy to read and navigate? Does the site have a search function?							
9.	If the court lacks a website, are there plans to have one? At what stage of development is it?							
10.	Does the court have an e-filing and e-messaging system? How is it being deployed in this case/in anti- corruption cases generally? (Please keep your response to 3 lines on the back of the page).							
	The Hearing (Pls tick or provide the correct answer)							
11.	a. The court sat 🕦 N b. The case you are monitoring proceededon schedule 🕜 N							
	c. Complainant was in court $\stackrel{\circ}{V}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{N}$ d. Prosecution was in court $\stackrel{\circ}{V}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{N}$ N f. Defence Counsel in Court $\stackrel{\circ}{V}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{N}$							
	g. If hearing was delayed at whose instance was the delay? Delay 2003 at the instance of Defer C							
	g. If hearing was delayed, at whose instance was the delay? Delay was at the instance of Deferces h. What reasons were given for the delay? The expert ender withess was sict and couldn't							
	f. What consequential orders followed? An Adjournment 095 given							
12.	a. Defendant was in custody (Y) (A) b. Subsisting order to produce defendant complied with (Y) N							

	c. What reasons were given for non-compliance	?	***************************************				
13	a. Witnesses were in Court? Y (N) b. If n	ot, what	reasons were	given? U	vulness &	0 15 810	
	c. What consequential directive if any, did the con back page)						
14.	Case Prioritization: Did the matter proceed as so		on, motion, h	earing, r	uling, address	, or	
	judgment)? Tes						
	How many hours or days were allocated to the matter? A day						
	How many interlocutory applications were taken? For what?						
	If the case was adjourned, at whose instance and for what reasons?						
	What was the length of adjournment? If it was a long adjournment, what reasons were given?						
	Overall, how frequent are adjournments in the case? Yer Frequent.						
9	What indicated that the court was giving the ca	se exped	ited hearing?				
15.	General Courtroom Conduct and Efficiency	Poor Ad	cceptable (Good E	Excellent		
	Courtroom was orderly	1	2	3	4		
	The Judge was courteous and respectful	1	2	3	4		
	The Judge was in control* of the proceedings	1	2	3	(D)		
	Judge was ready/prepared** for court	1	2	3	A)		
	The Prosecution was ready/prepared*** for co	urt 1	2	3	4		
	The defence was ready/prepared**** for court	1	2	3	4		
	Cases were handled in a timely manner	1	2	3	(4)		
	The judge was impartial	, 1	2	3	4		
	Individuals were treated equally by						
	Court staff	1	2	3	4		
	Transparency and Accountability	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Excellent		
	Judge was demonstrably neutral	1.	2	3	4		
	Parties were given equal opportunities						
	to present their cases	1	2	3	4		
	Judge made effort to understand		10				
	the concerns of the parties	1	2	3	4		
	Prosecution held accountable ***** for actions						
	that delayed proceedings	1	2	3	4		
	Defence held accountable for actions	-					
	that delayed proceedings	9	2	3	4		
	The interest of the public was a dequately						
	represented	1	2	3	4		
	Communicating Courtroom Proceedings	Poor A	Acceptable	Good	Excellent		
	Court announced every stage of proceedings	1	2	3	4		
	Court explained every stage of proceedings	1	2	3	4		
	Defendant understood procedures and rulings	1	2	3	4		

You may if necessary provide brief comments of the hearing: The doctrine of taic
LOGGISC Was upheld in that The case could not
go have because the withes was not available

I gained better understanding of the court's role in anti-corruption cases: Very Much Quite So Not sure Not at all Court process was fair: Very fair Quite Fair Not sure Unfair Courts reaction to observer's presence: Receptive Cooperative Hostile indifferent Did your confidence in the court ability to dispense justice increase because you witnessed proceedings? Very Much Quite So Not sure Not at all What did you find most interesting about your experience?

Notes on certain terms

- * A judge is in control when he maintains general courtroom decorum, holds counsel to the highest levels of compliance with the rules, grants adjournments only for valid reasons and not more times than is permitted by the rules.
- **You can tell that a judge is unprepared if for instance s/he comes to court without having read the case file and relevant law, or easily grants adjournments for flimsy reasons.
- *** A prosecutor betrays his or her unpreparedness when he/she betrays a firm grasp of the case/facts and applicable law,a charge or information sheet is found to be defective or the prosecution fails to ensure that processes have been properly filed, court directives have been complied with and that prosecution witnesses are in court if the case was set down for the prosecution's case.
- **** Indications that the defence attorney is unprepared could include gimmicks that are intended to prevent the court from proceeding with the hearing as scheduled, unscheduled interlocutory applications, failure to produce defence witnesses, etc.
- ***** A judge holds legal counsels accountable when s/he does not accommodate flimsy excuses for adjournments, ensures that timelines are kept and a tight lead is kept on adjournments by upholding the provisions of ACJA and practice directions relating to court room delays and adjournments. His or her ability to enforce the rules show that s/he retains control of the proceedings and would were necessary, remonstrate parties and counsel for holding up proceedings. The judge may also issue consequential orders, order costs where justified, and ensure that his/her orders are complied with.

Brief thistory

He has an Eight count charge subsisting of possession of

counterfect currency:

Section 5(1)(b) of the counterfect currency (special Provisions)

Act, cap (35 Laws of the Federation 2004