

(B) A

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE OF KWARA STATE
IN THE ILORIN JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT ILORIN**

SUIT NO: KWJ/36^C/2019

BEFORE HIS LORDSHIP: HONOURABLE JUSTICE .M. ABDULGAFAR

BETWEEN:

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF NIGERIA.....COMPLAINANT

AND

JAMIU ISIAKA.....DEFENDANT

JUDGMENT

1. The defendant was arraigned before this court on a four count charge alleging as follows:

COUNT 1

That you, Jamiu Isiaka, (Alias, Aminat Zakari), (Alias:Nda Musa), (Alias: Femi Adeshina) between the month of April and September 2018, in Ilorin, within the jurisdiction of this Honourable Court, with the intent to defraud, did obtain the total sum of \$88,521.41 (Eighty Eight thousand, Five Hundred and Twenty one Dollars, Forty one cents) from a Korean National named; Keun Sig Kim by false pretenses that the monies was for the procurement of NNPC Approval/Marketing Form and License Certificate to buy crude oil in Nigeria, facts which you knew to be false and thereby committed an offence contrary to section 1(1) (a) of the Advance Fee Fraud and other Fraud Related Offences Act, 2006 and punishable under section 1(3) of the same Act.

COUNT 2

That you, Jamiu Isiaka (Alia: Nda Musa), on or about 22nd May 2019, in Ilorin, within the jurisdiction of this Honourable Court, with the intent to defraud, had in your possession a fraudulent document titled "Google Account" containing false pretense that you are Nda Musa, fact which you knew to be false and thereby committed an offence contrary to section 6 read along with 8(b) of the Advance Fee Fraud and other Fraud related Offences Act; 2006 and punishable under section 1(3) of the same Act.

COUNT 3

That you, Isiaka (alias Femi Adeshina), on or about 22nd May 2019, in Ilorin, within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, with the intent to defraud, had in your possession a fraudulent document titled "Google Account" containing false pretense that you are Femi Adeshina, fact which you knew to be false and

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

thereby committed an offence contrary to section 6 read along with 8(b) of the Advance Fee Fraud and other Fraud Related Offences Act, 2006 and punishable under section 1 (3) of the same Act.

COUNT 4

That you, Jamiu Isiaka (alias Aminat Zahari), on or about the 22nd of May, 2019 in Ilorin, within the jurisdiction of this Honourable Court, with the intent to defraud, had in your possession a fraudulent document titled "Google Account" containing false pretense that you are a female named Aminat Zahari, fact which you knew to be false and thereby committed an offence contrary to section 6 read along with 8(b) of the Advance Fee Fraud and other Related Offences Act, 2006 and punishable under Section 1(3) of the same Act.

2. The defendant pleaded not guilty to the charge and the trial commenced with the evidence of Mr. Dare Folarin who commenced his evidence by showing the court a recording showing that the defendant whose counsel had told the court was not literate in English speaking good English.

Thereafter the court directed that proceedings will continue in English.

Pw1 stated that he knew the defendant following a petition received by his commission from one Keun sig kim, a Korean, alleging that the defendant collected approximately N30,000,000 on the pretext that he was going to sell him crude oil.

3. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant collected money from the complainant for NNPC crude oil request form, NNPC Certificate of recognition, FGN form and FGN/NNPC form copies of which were attached to the petition.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant operates a domiciliary account with UBA which was given to the complainant and into which the complainant wired all the payments.

It is also the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant had initially given the complainant his savings account number with instruction to transfer money through Western Union but the complainant insisted on making payment to an account and this led the defendant to open a domiciliary account around 18/04/19.

4. It is the evidence of Pw1 that between 20/04/2018 and Nov. 2018 the sum of \$88,521 was paid by the complainant into the account,

only for the defendant withdraws all the money as the sole signatory to the account.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that the first withdrawal was via a letter written by the defendant in English language because his cheques book was not yet ready.

Pw1 testified that he wrote the NNPC to confirm the authenticity of the documents and UBA to avail him the documents relating to the defendant's account.

5. Pw1 stated that he also urged the Bank to notify the commission if the operator of the account shows up at the Bank and the Bank called him on 7/2/19 that the defendant was at the Bank and he was able to arrest the defendant.

Pw1 stated that after taking the defendant into custody he gave the defendant the petition but the defendant told him that he could not read or write at which point Barrister Kamal, the defendant's counsel read out the petition to him and interpreted it to the defendant in Yoruba which the defendant claimed to be the only language he understood.

6. Pw1 stated that he then asked the defendant for his response to the petition and he responded by stating that he knew the complainant through one Alhaji Ridwan, a retired judge in Lagos whom he had worked as an Islamic cleric for.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant told him that after the retired judge introduced him to the complainant, and told him that he is a Korean citizen who did a work for a lot of people who were owing him and he requested him to pray for him so he could recover the money. Pw1 stated that the defendant told him that the \$88,000 he collected from the complainant was payment for the prayers he did for him.

It is the evidence Pw1 that the defendant told him that after May 2018 he called the complainant's number and another person picked it and told him that the complainant was dead. Pw1 added that the defendant must have forgotten that the complainant paid money into his account up until September 2018. It is the evidence of Pw1 that he then cautioned the

defendant after he had agreed to put the things he had told him into writing.

7. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant then authorized Barrister Kamal to write the statements for him and he signed them. It is the evidence of Pw1 that a Techno phone was recovered from the defendant which had two SIM cards, MTN and GLO.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that the MTN SIM number is 08035061470 and the same number appeared severally in the correspondence with the complainant. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant's real name of Jamiu Isiaka was introduced as the treasurer of a committee set up by FGN to issue NNPC crude oil approval. According to Pw1, in some of the correspondence, the defendant claimed to be Femi Adesina with Tel. No. 08035061470, while he also used the same number in those correspondence where he claimed to be Aminat Zakari or Nda Musa.

8. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant created several email addresses which he used to contact the complainant including Maikantibaru6@gmail.com.

Mrsaminazakari@gmail.com, Ndamusa@gmail.com and Femiadesina@gmail.com.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that although the defendant denied opening any email as he does not know how to do anything in English, he confirmed that the phone recovered from him belong to him and he also confirmed that he had been using the MTN line on it.

Pw1 stated that he then Google-queried the number 0803506147 to know if the number had ever been used to register any email account and the response he got were aminazakr@gmail.com. And Ndamusa@gmail.com.

9. It is the evidence of Pw1 when he asked the defendant about the password, he claimed not to know leading him (Pw1) to use his office mac note book that he uses for his official work which is internet enabled in the presence of the defendant and his counsel

Barrister Kamal to try to log into aminazakari@gmail.com using the option of "I forgot password" and was given several phone numbers to recover the password.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that he picked the option of access through 0803506147 to generate a code and when the code was sent to the number, he imputed it into the space provided and the email opened for him to access.

It is the further evidence of Pw1 that all the fake NNPC documents sent to the complainant and the exchange of correspondence were in the in box and sent item of aminazakri@gmail.com.

10. It is the evidence of Pw1 that he then printed out the materials using his office Hp laser printer. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant's number 08035061470 was clearly stated in the documents as the owner of the phone and femi desina@gmail.com was stated as alternative email while claiming to be a female. It is the evidence of Pw1 that he used the same process to access Ndamusa@gmail.com and found that all the documents sent to the complainant were also in the inbox and sent item of Ndamusa@gmail.com and the defendant's 08035061470 was also used to register it and Femi Adesina@gmail.com was provided as alternative email address.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that he also printed out all the materials found in the Nda Musa account. It is the evidence of Pw1 that he then got all the documents authenticated in Yoruba after which he contacted the solicitor to the complainant Geoge Itodo of the need for him to talk with the complainant and he gave his email address for contact.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that on 21/05/19 the complainant, Kien sig kim contacted him on Darefolarin@yahoo.com following which he sent the complainant an email asking him to send him all the correspondence between him and the defendant.

11. Pw1 stated that he then asked the complainant if he asked anyone to conduct prayer for him and he replied in negative. It is the evidence of Pw1 that he then opened his email account and printed out all the documents the complainant sent to him. It is

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

the evidence of Pw1 that he printed out all the documents and issued a Certificate of Compliance which also covered the video he had played to the court earlier in the day showing the defendant speaking in English and quoting law.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant confessed to commission of the crime in the video because he did not realize he was been recorded.

Pw1 then recounted the scene in the video where the defendant stated how he used the money to go on lesser Hajj (Umrah) with his wife and how he held a big party to celebrate their return, adding that the defendant stated how he bribed policemen at CIB with N2,000,000 so as not to miss the lesser Hajj.

12. Pw1 stated how he bought the Toyota Car recovered from him. Pw1 stated that on searching the defendant house he recovered items that the defendant used the proceed of crime to acquire. It is the evidence of Pw1 that although the defendant claimed to be an Islamic Cleric, he discovered a section of his room which is a shrine with items like pot tied with red cloth and feathers and cowries. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant admitted to him that he was the one withdrawing the money as they came in and mentioned one Aminu who changed the dollars for him but he could not give the phone number of Aminu.

13. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant also could not give the address or the phone number of the retired judge who introduced him to complainant. It is the evidence of Pw1 that all the documents sent to him by the complainant are the similar to the ones attached to the petition.

It is the evidence of Pw1 that he sent the documents purporting to emanate from NNPC to NNPC and the response he got is that they are all fake documents. It is the evidence of Pw1 that at the end of his investigation he came to the conclusion that the defendant whose real name is Jamiu Isiaka with phone number 08035061470 who had used the same name and phone number to open his UBA accounts is the same person parading himself as Mrs. Amina Zakari, Nda Musa and Femi Adesina.

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

It is the evidence of Pw1 that his investigation also revealed that it was the defendant who contacted the complainant and made him part with \$88,000 on the false pretense that he was going to facilitate for him to lift crude oil. Pw1 then played the film of the defendant conversing in flawless English language. Pw1 then informed the court that the film he had played is meant to challenge the claim the defendant throughout the course of the investigation that he does not speak English a posture he maintained in the court when his plea was taken.

14. It is the evidence of Pw1 that in order to debunk the claim and prove to the court that the defendant understands and speaks English, he recorded the conversation of the defendant using his wristwatch whilst the defendant was in EFCC cell. Pw1 stated that the defendant could be heard in the two recordings talking about capital punishment. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the defendant could also be heard saying that he ought to be granted bail because the offence he committed was bailable. Pw1 added that the defendant also mentioned the offences under Advance fee fraud Act in good English.
15. It is the evidence of Pw1 that the 2nd clip shows the defendant happily talking about what he used the proceeds of the crime to do. Pw1 stated that exhibits 3 and 4 are the statements of account of the defendant and the withdrawal instruments the defendant used. Pw1 demonstrated how the complainant paid \$4275.71 into the defendant's account on 20/04/18 while the defendant wrote an instruction on 25/04/18 in English language to withdraw \$4270. Pw1 stated that the complainant paid \$14,275 on 11/05/18; \$10,000 on 16/07/18 and \$14,285 on 07/08/18. Pw1 also demonstrated in exhibit 3, the 19 cheques drawn by the defendant to withdraw the money from his account.
16. Pw1 admitted in cross examination by Mr. Kamaldeen Quadri, for defendant that Islamic clerics have clients who pay them for services but Pw1 insisted that the defendant could not satisfy him that he provided services to the complainant. Pw1 disagreed that it is only the phone number of the defendant that is on

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

exhibit 6 by pointing out that the defendant's account with UBA is also in exhibit 6.

Pw1 also pointed out that the defendant was also introduced as Jamiu Isiaka, secretary of NNPC committee. Pw1 refers the court to the Google account profile which shows Amina Zakari but carries the phone number of defendant.

17. Pw1 admitted in further cross examination by Mrs. Grillo that the defendant and the complainant never met in person. Pw1 admitted that he also did not physically meet with the complainant but they spoke on phone and exchanged emails. Pw1 stated that he invited the complainant to come down to Nigeria but he responded that all the money he had has been collected by the defendant and he could not fund a trip to Nigeria.

Pw1 admitted that he did not witness any of the conversation between the defendant and the complainant but insisted that his investigation revealed that the defendant is the fraudster.

18. Pw1 admitted that it is possible to hack into somebody's account but insisted that is not what happened in this case. Pw1 admitted that he obtained 3 or 4 statements from the defendant which were written for the defendant by his counsel. Pw1 admitted that the defendant never admitted to duping the complainant but added that the defendant admitted collecting \$88,000. Pw1 insisted that the documents attached to the petition shows that the story of praying for the complainant is false.

Pw1 stated that he linked the defendant to the documents as the defendant collected all the money.

19. On being recalled at the request of the prosecution, Pw1 stated that investigation of the case continued into the aspect of what the defendant did with the \$88,521.41 he extorted from the complainant. Pw1 stated that he got intelligence report that the defendant has a property at Okefoma, Ilorin.

Pw1 stated that the informant led him to the property. Pw1 stated that he commissioned some land agents to assist him with the history of the property and he was directed to one Barrister

Abdulwahab Bamidele, the Solicitor who sold the land. It is the evidence of Pw1 that when he met the solicitor he confirmed to him that he sold four plots of land to the defendant and gave him a photocopy of the Deed of Conveyance. Pw1 stated that he took photograph of the building the defendant was putting on the land.

20. Pw1 admitted in cross examination that he had idea about the property but he could not trace it until he met somebody who agreed to trace the property if he would give him something and the result is what transpired with Barrister Bamidele who died the day after giving him the land document. Pw1 admitted that although the name of the defendant is not written on the property, his investigation revealed that the property belonged to the defendant.
21. The next prosecution witness testified as George Itodo, the legal practitioner who wrote the petition on behalf of the complainant. Pw2 stated that exhibit 1 is the petition he wrote on behalf of his client. It is the evidence of Pw2 that his client called him in August 2018 that he had some transaction with some people claiming to be Femi Adesina and NNPC officers and some other people in Government whom he was suspecting were fraudsters. Pw2 stated that he then directed the complainant to forward the documents he had exchanged with the people and he forwarded the documents to him.
22. Pw2 stated after going through the documents he told the complainant that the documents could not have emanated from the Agencies he claimed to be dealing with and he advised him to report the matter to EFCC. It is the evidence of Pw2 that the complainant then mandated him to lodge the Petition on his behalf and the result is exhibit 1. It is the evidence of Pw2 that the complainant is on the verge of bankruptcy as a result of the fraudulent transaction and cannot travel anywhere, not even Nigeria.
23. Pw2 stated in cross examination that there apart from exhibit 1, there are other things linking the defendant to the offence. Pw2 pointed out that the letter congratulating the

complainant and directing him to pay fees to the treasurer of the committee to the UBA account of Jamiu Isiaka with account no 2107575861 also supports the petition.

Pw2 stated that the documents were given to him by his client who told him that the only connection he has with the defendant is on the basis of the documents he sent to him.

24. The prosecution's case was closed after the evidence of Pw2 following which the case was fixed for defence for 21/11/19. The defendant rather than enter his defence started coming up with one excuse or the other not to open his defence and this happened on 30/01/20; 02/03/20;19/03/20; 05/11/20;25/11/20; 21/01/21; 08/02/21 and 31/03/21 until the court fixed the case for definite defence on 16/06/21 with a warning to the defence that the case for the defence will be closed if the defence was not ready.

25. When the case was called on 16/06/21 Mr. Ishola for the defendant informed the court that his client was still not prepared to open his defence despite of the order of the court. The prosecution applied to the court to close the case of the defence and Mr. Ishola for the defendant responded that he had no objection resulting in the closure of the case for the defence. The parties filed and adopted written addresses which were adopted before the court.

26. O.B. Akinsola of counsel for the prosecution raised a lone issue for determination thus:

"Whether the prosecution has proved his case beyond reasonable doubt by the quantum of evidence"

Arguing that lone issue, O.B. Akinsola contends that the ingredients of the offence of obtaining money by false pretense are:

- i. That there is a pretense*
- ii. That the pretense emanated from Defendant*
- iii. That it was false*
- iv. That the Defendant knew of its falsity*
- v. That there was intention to defraud*
- vi. That the thing is capable of being stolen*
- vii. That the Defendant induced the owner.*

It is the contention of Akinsola Esq. that with regards to ingredients numbers i – iv, there is the evidence of PW1 that the defendant communicated with the complainant via fictitious emails all linked to his known phone numbers pretending to be different persons like Femi Adesina, Mrs Aminat Zakari and Nda Musa and sent his account details to the complainant to pay various sums of money for fictitious registration.

27. It is the submission of Akinsola esq. that the Defendant's phone number 08035061470 was linked to the emails as can be seen from exhibit 5.

Akinsola esq also refers the court to exhibit 2 which shows the falsity of the documents. It is the submission of Akinsola esq that it was based on the correspondence that the complainant transferred the total sum of \$88,521.41 to the defendant through his UBA account he had given to the complaint.

28. With regard to ingredients numbers V, VI, and VII Akinsola esq refers the court to the evidence of PW7 that at the end of his investigation, his conclusion is that the defendant whose real name is Jamiu Isiaka with phone number 08035061470 is the same person paraded as Mrs. Aminat Zakari, Nda Musa and Femi Adesina.

It is the contention of Akinsola esq that with the defendant admission through his statement of account exhibit 3, and the 19 cheques that the he used to withdraw the money in his statement to the commission, it is argued that prosecution has established that count especially as these pieces of evidence were not challenged. See **BABALOLA VS. STATE 1989 4 NWLR (Part 115) 264.**

The court is argued to hold that the prosecution has established count 1 against the defendant.

29. Taking on counts 2 – 4 that appertain to possession of fraudulent documents, it is the contention of Akinsola esq that the ingredients of this offence are;

- i. *Existence of document contrary false pretense*
- ii. *Finding defendant in possession thereof*
- iii. *Defendant's knowledge of falsity".*

It is argued that the evidence shows that the defendant was arrested with exhibit 7 which had two SIM cards and it was discovered that the phone was used to register different fraudulent emails as per the evidence of PW1 who linked the defendant with the emails.

It is the submission of Akinsola esq that mere possession of the fraudulent document is contravention of the law. See **STEPHEN VS. STATE (2008) LPELR 8360**. The court is urged to hold that the prosecution has established counts 2 – 4.

30. Mr. Ishola, Director, Office of Public defender raised two issues for determination viz:

- i. *“Whether the prosecution counsel proof (SIC) his case beyond reasonable doubt.*
- ii. *Whether resting case on prosecution’s evidence or failure of defence to call evidence amount to conclusive proof”.*

Arguing issue 1, Mr. Ishola contends that prosecution has failed to prove the case against the defendant beyond reasonable doubt. It is the view of defence counsel that the circumstantial evidence produced by the prosecution is not strong enough to warrant the conviction of the defendant.

It is also the submission of Mr. Ishola that the prosecution has failed to prove the case against the defendant beyond reasonable doubt. See **AMADI VS. FRN (2008) 18 NWLR (Part 1119) 259**.

31. Taking on issue 2, Mr. Ishola argues that the fact that the defendant in this case rested his case on the case of the prosecution does not relieve the prosecution of the duty to establish the case against the defendant beyond reasonable doubt.

It is the submission of Mr. Ishola that the failure of the prosecution to call the complainant is fatal to the case of the prosecution. See **OKORO VS. THE STATE (1998) NWLR (Part 584) 181**.

The court is urged to discharge and acquit the defendant.

32. I have considered the diverse issues for determination raised by both counsel, but it appears to me that the lone issue raised for determination by the prosecution is sufficient to resolve this case. Before resolving the issue, I think it is appropriate to evaluate the evidence. The prosecution's first witness is the detective who investigated the case. Although Pw1 was rigorously cross examined, he remained firm in his evidence.

I accept the evidence of Pw1 that the result of his investigation is that it was the defendant who sent fake documents to the complainant and induced the complainant to pay money into his account.

33. I also accept the evidence of Pw1 that it was not to provide any spiritual services for the complainant that the complainant paid money into the defendant's account, but on the false pretense that the defendant was in a position to facilitate the purchase of Nigeria crude oil for him.

I accept the evidence of PW1 that the defendant also pretended not to be able to communicate in English during investigation until he devised a strategy to get the video recordings played in court which showed the defendant not only conversing in English but quoting sections of advance fee fraud Act and talking about the fact that the offence he committed is bailable.

34. I also accept the evidence of Pw1, that on arresting the defendant, he took his phone analysed and printed out the documents in his email account.

I also accept his the evidence that the documents in exhibit 6 are the documents he printed out from the defendant's phone exhibit 7 and I accept the evidence of PW1 that they are false documents in that they contained grammatical errors and also in the light of exhibit 2 from NNPC that the documents in exhibit 6 are false documents.

There is also the evidence of PW2 which corroborated the evidence of PW1 regarding the fraudulent inducement practiced on the complainant by the defendant to induce him to part with his money.

35. I also accept the evidence of Pw1 that the only dealing the complainant had with the defendant was that in respect of the false documents attached to exhibit 1.

Having accepted the evidence of Pw1 and PW2 to be credible, I will now proceed to resolve the lone issue which is

*"WHETHER THE PROSECUTION HAS PROVED
ITS CASE BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT BY THE
QUANTUM OF EVIDENCE".*

I am in agreement with Akinsola esq that the evidence of Pw1 has established the essential ingredients of the offence of obtaining the sum of \$88,521 by false pretenses. See **ONWUDIWE VS. FRN (2006) 7 NWLR (Part 988).**

36. The only defence that can be deciphered from the statement of the defendant is that the money he got from the complainant is for services of spiritual nature he rendered to the complainant. I prefer the evidence of PW1 and PW2 that the only transaction between the defendant and the complainant is that depicted in the fraudulent representations in exhibit 6 and not the provision of any spiritual services.

There is the point raised by Mr. Ishola regarding the failure to call the complainant to testify, I am of the view that the prosecution is not bound to call a particular witness when it has called witnesses to prove the fact that needed to be proved.

In **AFOLALU VS. STATE (2016) 16 NWLR (Part 1220) 584,** the supreme court stated the position thus;

*"It does not lie in the mouth
of the defence to urge the
prosecution to call a particular
witness. Where the prosecution
fails to call a particular witness
there is nothing stopping the
defence from Calling that witness".*

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

In the light of the foregoing, I find as a fact that the prosecution has proved the case of obtaining money with false pretence against the defendant.

37. With regard to counts 2 – 4 alleging possession of fraudulent documents with intention to defraud, I am of the

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

view that the evidence of PW1 has also established the substance of his offence. The defendant has not in any way disputed the evidence of PW1 that exhibit 6, were printed out from the defendant's phone exhibit 7. The endorsement of the defendant at the foot of each page of the document support the evidence of PW1 that the defendant was found in possession of the fraudulent documents.

Consequently, I hold that the prosecution has established counts 2 – 4 against the defendant. I find for the prosecution and hold that the case against the defendant has been established beyond reasonable doubt.

1. I convict you Jamiu Isiaka (alias Aminat Zakari), (alias Nda Musa), (alias Femi Adeshina) of fraudulently obtaining the sum of \$88,521.41 from Keun Sig Kim a Korean National under the false pretense of procuring NNPC License to buy crude oil in Nigeria contrary to section 1 (1) (a) of the advance fee fraud and other fraud related Offences Act 2006.
2. I also convict you Jamiu Isiaka (alias Nda Musa) of being in possession of a fraudulent document titled "Google Account" containing the false pretense that you are Nda Musa contrary to section 6 and 8(b) of the Advance fee fraud Act 2006.
3. I further convict you Jamiu Isiaka (alias Femi Adesina) of being in possession with intention to defraud a fraudulent document titled "Google Account" containing false pretence that you Femi Adeshina contrary to section 6 and 8(b) of Advance fee fraud Act 2006.
4. I convict you Jamiu Isiaka (alias Amina Zakari) of being in possession with intention to defraud of a fraudulent document titled "Google Account" containing the false pretense that you are Aminat Zakari contrary to section 6 and 8(b) of the Advance fee fraud Act 2006.

Hon. Justice M. Abdulgafar
Judge
08/07/2021.

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

O.B. Akinsola Esq. for the prosecution.
S.O. Ishola (Director, OPD with Imam – Fulani)

C.T.C - MSU - 015
[Signature]
30/1/2024

Certified by me
[Signature]
HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
Date *[Signature]* other
[Signature]

MSU CVN - 17920 - 1694A - 9193